

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

Pursuant to Eleventh Circuit Rule 26.1-1(a)(3), counsel for appellees certifies that the following have an interest in the outcome of this appeal:

Andreessen Horowitz, Amicus Curiae

Bank Policy Institute, Amicus Curiae

Blockchain Association, Amicus Curiae

Bonta, Christian, Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Boynton, Brian M., Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

Burnham, James, Attorney for Amici Blockchain Association & DeFi
Education Fund

Coin Center, Plaintiff-Appellant

Consovoy McCarthy PLLC, Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Coogle, Christine L., Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

DeFi Education Fund, Amicus Curiae

Department of Treasury, Defendant-Appellee

Doe, John, Plaintiff-Appellant

Elliott, Stephen M., Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

Evangelista, Alessio, Attorney for Amicus Curiae Andreessen Horowitz

Gacki, Andrea M., Former Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control

Haas, Alexander, Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

Harris, Jeffrey M., Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Healy, Christopher, Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

Hetzel, Jeffrey S., Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Hinshelwood, Brad, Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

Hoffman, David, Plaintiff-Appellant

Jones Day, Attorneys for Amici Blockchain Association & DeFi Education
Fund

Kelleher, Diane, Attorney for Defendants-Appellees

Kinchen, John, Attorney for Amicus Curiae Bank Policy Institute

Lea, Brian, Attorney for Amici Blockchain Association & DeFi Education
Fund

Lehotsky Keller Cohn LLP, Attorneys for Amicus Paradigm Operations LP

Liu, Jessie K., Attorney for Amicus Andreessen Horowitz

Office of Foreign Assets Control, Defendant-Appellee

Norris, Cameron T., Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants

O'Sullivan, Patrick, Plaintiff-Appellant

Paradigm Operations LP, Amicus Curiae

Sasso, Michael, Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Sasso & Sasso, P.A., Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Seira, Rodrigo, Attorney for Amicus Paradigm Operations LP

Skadden Arps, Attorney for Amicus Andreessen Horowitz

Smith, Bradley T., Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control

Smyser Kaplan & Veselka LLP, Attorneys for Amicus Bank Policy Institute

Sutherland, J. Abraham, Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Swingle, Sharon, Attorney for Defendants-Appellants

Wetherell, Hon. T. Kent, District Court Judge

Yarger, Katherine, Attorney for Amicus Paradigm Operations LP

Yellen, Janet, Secretary of the Treasury

/s/ Brad Hinschelwood

BRAD HINSHELWOOD

(202) 514-7823

Attorneys, Appellate Staff

Civil Division

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Room 7256

Washington, D.C. 20530

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

COIN CENTER, et al.,

Appellants,

v.

SECRETARY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
TREASURY, et al.,

Appellees.

No. 23-13698

**MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE RESPONSE BRIEF**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26(b), the government respectfully requests a 45-day extension of time to file its response brief in this case, to March 4, 2024. Appellants do not oppose this request.

1. Under the Court's November 17 briefing order, appellants filed their opening brief in this appeal on December 18, 2023. The government's response brief is currently due on January 17, 2024.

2. The government respectfully requests that the deadline for its response brief be extended by 45 days, to March 4, 2024.

3. The requested extension is necessary because the attorney with primary responsibility for preparing the government's brief, Brad Hinshelwood, will be out of the office over the Christmas and New Year's holidays. In addition, Mr.

Hinshelwood is or has been responsible for other matters during the current briefing period, including a response brief in *In re Brunetti*, Fed. Cir. No. 23-1539 (filed December 19, 2023, as extended), and an opening brief in a preliminary injunction appeal in *National Association for Gun Rights v. Garland*, 5th Cir. No. 23-11138 (due January 8, 2024).¹ Mr. Hinshelwood is also responsible for oral arguments in *In re Application of the New York Times Company*, D.C. Cir. No. 23-5071, and *In re Press Application for Access to Judicial Records*, D.C. Cir. No. 23-5073, both on January 26, and will participate in preparing a reply in the Supreme Court in *Garland v. Cargill*, No. 22-976 (due February 16, 2024).

4. We have consulted with counsel for appellants, who have stated that they do not oppose the requested extension.

¹ Counsel is concurrently seeking a one-week extension of this deadline to January 16.

Respectfully submitted,

SHARON SWINGLE

/s/ Brad Hinshelwood

BRAD HINSHELWOOD

(202) 514-7823

Attorneys, Appellate Staff

Civil Division

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Room 7256

Washington, D.C. 20530

DECEMBER 2023

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This motion complies with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2)(A) because it contains 283 words. The motion also complies with the typeface and type-style requirements of Rule 32(a)(5) and Rule 32(a)(6) because it was prepared using Microsoft Word 2013 in Garamond, 14-point font, a proportionally-spaced typeface.

/s/ Brad Hinshelwood

Brad Hinshelwood